



GITAM

(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

VISAKHAPATNAM * HYDERABAD * BENGALURU

GITAM SCHOOL OF LAW

DR. M.V.V.S. MURTHI

2ND NATIONAL VIRTUAL MOOT COURT

COMPETITION

27TH TO 29TH AUGUST, 2021



NAAC A+ Grade



ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

CHIEF PATRONS

PROF. K. RAMAKRISHNA RAO
Chancellor
GITAM (Deemed to be University)

SHRI M. SRI BHARAT
President
GITAM

PATRON

PROF. K. SIVA RAMA KRISHNA
Vice-Chancellor
GITAM (Deemed to be University)

CHAIR PERSONS

PROF. (DR.) R. ANITA RAO
Dean & Director
GITAM School of Law

PROF. G. RAGHAVAIAH
GITAM School of Law

ADVISORY MEMBERS

HON'BLE JUSTICE CHALLA KODANDARAM
Judge, High Court of Telangana

PROF. SRIKRISHNA DEVA RAO
Vice Chancellor,
National Law University, Delhi.

PROF. (DR.) V. VIJAYAKUMAR
Vice Chancellor,
National Law Institute University, Bhopal

PROF. DR. V. BALAKISTA REDDY
Head, Centre for Air and Arbitration ,
NALSAR University of Law, Hyderabad

PROF. VED KUMARI
Vice-Chancellor,
National Law University, Odisha.

PROF(DR) V.KESAVA RAO
Vice-Chancellor,
National University of Study and Reseach in Law - Ranchi

PROF. R VENKATA RAO
Former Vice Chancellor,
National Law School of India University, Bangalore
Chairperson, VSLLS, New Delhi

MR. GAGAN GUPTA
Advocate on Record,
Supreme Court of India ,Delhi

CONVENERS

MR. VARDHMAN PANWAR
Assistant Professor
Email: vardhmanofficial@gmail.com
Mob: 8978237009

MR. SRIKANT PATIL
Assistant Professor
Email: nsrikantpatil@gmail.com
Mob: 9963614330

MS. RHEA HUNDAL
Assistant Professor
Email: rhundal@gitam.edu
Mob: 7702718084

STUDENT COORDINATORS

NISHITHA KEERTHI
Mob: 9113499377

A. AKHIL
Mob: 9121730496

MURTUZA GADYALI
Mob: 7569459266

KAMAL
Mob: 6300350232



GITAM SCHOOL OF LAW

Dr. MVVS MURTHI

2ND NATIONAL VIRTUAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION

27th to 29th August, 2021

VENUE:

GITAM SCHOOL OF LAW

GITAM (Deemed to be University)

Gandhi Nagar Campus, Rushikonda

Visakhapatnam-530045, A.P., INDIA.



About GITAM: GITAM (Deemed to be University) Gandhi Institute of Technology and Management, popularly known as GITAM, was founded in 1980 by an inspired group of eminent intellectuals and industrialists of Andhra Pradesh led by Dr. MVVS Murthi, Former Member of Parliament and popular philanthropist. The vision of Mahatma, the Father of the Nation was to see India as a socially and economically resurgent country and he looked upon education as an important means to achieve this goal. Gandhiji's view of education was not narrow or sectarian. He envisaged universities as institutions of higher learning that transcend all linguistic, racial and other barriers. GITAM is committed to imbibe his values and abide by Gandhian philosophy.

School of Law: School of Law, a constituent institution of GITAM (Deemed to be University), was established at Visakhapatnam Campus in 2012 with the objective of providing quality and research-oriented teaching in the area of legal jurisprudence relevant to the contemporary social norms



About GMAC-2021: GITAM, School Law, Visakhapatnam is organizing the Dr. MVVS Murthi Virtual National Moot Competition, 2021. The event is scheduled to be held from 27th to 29th August 2021 at GITAM, Visakhapatnam.

GITAM Moot & Advocacy Committee (GMAC) has been set up in 2019. The objective of this Committee is to provide the students an opportunity to develop their interpersonal & Advocacy skills. Participation in Moot Court Competition enhances the art of researching and the oratory skills of a student while prepping the student to face the reality of a court room. It also aids in confidence building. Mooting gives a practical court room experience to a law student.

The Convenor of the Moot Court Society is Prof (Dr.) R Anita Rao, Director, School of Law. The Committee comprises of faculty convenors, Mr. Vardhman S. Panwar, Mr N. Srikanth Patil and

The Student members are **Akhil, Nishitha Keerthi, Murtuza Gadiyali, Kamal**

The Committee successfully conducted the GITAM Regional Moot Court Competition in July 2019. National Virtual Moot Court competition on Space Law, 2020 which saw participation from college across the country.

Eligibility: The competition is open to students currently enrolled in LLB and LLM Courses in India. No more than 1 team may represent an institution.

Team size and other information: Each team shall comprise of a minimum of two students and maximum of three students, with two speakers and one researcher (as needed).

Location: GITAM School of Law, GITAM Deemed to be University Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh.

MOOT PROBLEM:

Tolken Institute of Science and Technology (hereafter referred to as "TIST") was one of the premier trust-funded educational institutions in the country. The Institute's Research and Development wing was world-renowned for its innovations and inventions and the institute was credited with several patents. The professors working as faculty at the institutions were considered to be the brightest minds in their respective fields. Owing to its stellar work, the institution was a part of several research projects, wherein few of them were their own individual undertakings and irrespective of the nature of projects, all of them were funded by several international organizations and corporate giants. The funding institutions extended the financial aid for the projects to TIST either as a part of their CSR obligations or to promote their scientific intel for further appropriation or for the general advancement of science.

TIST was situated in the territorial jurisdiction of Rohanasia which is a developing country but is emerging as one of the major economic powers around the globe. The nation of Rohanasia has become the technological hub of the world in the last decade, with

an eruption of a large number of start-ups companies that are technology-oriented and looking to push the boundaries of technology in different fields which have led to the substantial growth of the economy of Rohanasia in the past decade and helped it in becoming an economic giant. This has further led to the elevation of interest among students in technology and engineering studies and related courses which made the position of TIST even more prominent as more and more students became interested in taking admission in this institution as it was working with bleeding-edge technology and inventions.

The country also started focusing more and more on its space program as more and national talent started emerging because of the interest in the field of engineering and science. TIST again acted as a pioneer and assisted the government in improving the state of space program and the agency that was handling the space missions of the country was Rohanasia Space and Research Agency (hereafter referred to as RSRA). TIST had many of its' professors involved in the space programs at RSRA and but most of them acted as consultants. Among the many luminaires of the TIST that were part of the space program one stood out the most

for his contributions to the space program, Prof. Dr. Charles Nordus. He was a professor of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering, and he has been part of various projects regarding the space program of the country at RSRA.

Director of RSRA Dr. Admon Salzar held Dr. Charles in very high regard and the project Dr. Charles was consulting on, to the RSRA team was very crucial for the establishment of the Rohanasian space station in orbit but because of his academic responsibilities at TIST and his limitation as a consultant. He was not able to fully engage in the project which was causing delays in deadlines. To remedy the situation and resolve any future issues Dr. Salzar approached the Director of TIST (Annexure-IV), Dr. Karvar Dent, and requested him to lend Dr. Charles to RSRA for a period of 3 years to work on space station mission, a time period which can be extended if the need may arise. On the orders of Dr. Dent (Annexure V) the legal team of TIST drafted a contract (Annexure I) for the RSRA and forwarded the contract to the Director of RSRA Dr. Salzar and after consultation with his legal team, signed the contract and Dr. Charles was informed of the transfer (Annexure-III) and he started working with RSRA full time. He

was appointed as project head for the space station program started working on the project.

During the time he spent with the team in his tenure as project head he got close with two other employees of RSRA, Mr. Viktor Frees and Mr. Wally Best as they shared a common interest in guidance technology. Whenever they got free time, they used to discuss it at lengths. One day while discussing guidance systems they got the idea for active close loop reference path guidance system that would require very a smaller number of paths that need to be stored and guidance can make changes based on changing circumstances like a model-based guidance system but will be much cheaper in comparison to model-based guidance system and would require much lesser amount of computational power. They started designing the system in their free time but for designing the guidance system they used resources of RSRA. It took them 1 ½ year for them to complete the system but they had a perfectly functioning prototype.

RSRA was supportive of the ideas of innovation and experimentation. It always supported new ideas and inventions

and was open about employees using RSRA resources for personal projects the only caveat was that any patentable invention will have joint ownership with RSRA and the revenue that will be generated from potential licensing of the patent and the division will be 70:30. 70 % will be for RSRA for providing resources and equipment in addition to that RSRA will take care of the registration process, marketing of the patent, and drafting licensing agreement with third parties and 30% will be the employee who has created the invention.

This was the reason that RSRA has many patents registered under its name and has a lot of revenue generation and collaborations with large Trans-national Corporations also the uniqueness and industrial applications of the patents registered by RSRA were well acclaimed among the academic as well as corporate circles. Then, when Dr. Charles and his team came out with the proposed prototype it was another potential patent for RSRA to generate more revenue from. RSRA had a special department that handled Intellectual Property registrations and management matters (IP dept.) the department had two special committees which consisted of application committee which consisted of scientific

experts to check the authenticity and industrial application and a legal committee to check for infringements and legality of the proposed patent. The IP dept. approved the patent for filing after they sat with Dr. Charles and his team and they explained the prototype to both the committees. The legal committee took one week extra for approval, but it was approved as they wanted to be through keeping in mind the reputation of RSRA.

Everything was finalized and on the 25th of March 2021 the team was called for signing the revenue division agreement between the parties, Dr. Charles was informed that he will not be part of the agreement as he is not an employee of RSRA and cannot have any share in the revenue as this facility was only available for the employees and he was still an employee of TIST. Dr. Charles was devastated as his efforts and hard work was about to be for nothing his team member Mr. Frees and Mr. Best also felt displeased about the situation and were very vocal about it to the organization and they threatened to go to someone else with their invention to which they were notified if they leave they will have to walk away from the revenue of the patent as they have used resources of the RSRA for their invention and RSRA has every

right over that invention as both Mr. Frees and Mr. Best are employees of RSRA. Then Mr. Frees and Mr. Best were ready to sign the revenue distribution agreement. Dr. Charles was not ready to have any of it He consulted a lawyer and was ready to approach the court to resolve the matter. He also sent a legal notice to RSRA if he is not included in the revenue distribution agreement, he will take all the necessary legal actions.

The matter reached the director of RSRA Dr. Salzar, on hearing about the matter he became concerned as he didn't want the relations between TIST and RSRA to be spoiled as many other faculty members were also part of different programs of RSRA. To

resolve the matter and protect the collaboration he approached Dr. Charles and ask him to reconsider the matter and if he anyways wants to contest this matter why not do it privately in an Arbitration. Dr. Charles agreed to the suggestion of Mr.Salazar and agreed to enter into an arbitration agreement RSRA which was jointly drafted by Dr. Charles' counsel and legal dept of RSRA to resolve the dispute between him and RSRA.

- The problem is purely fictional and designed for academic purposes.
- All laws of Rohanasia are pari materia to the laws of India.

Annexure-I

Employee Borrow Agreement

Subject: This contract is created to create a legal relationship between Tolken Institute of Science and Technology (TIST) and Rohnasia Space and Research Agency (RSRA).

TIST or Tolken Institute of Science and Technology is situated in the city of Mordoria in jurisdictional territories Rohansia is a premier educational institution primarily funded and governed by Tolken trust. It is a renowned institution in the field of Science and Engineering related research. It has a large number of patents registered in its name and has collaborations with many foreign institutions and Trans-national Corporations.

Rohnasia Space and Research Agency (RSRA) is the national space agency of Rohnasia and is responsible for all the space missions of Rohnasia. The agency has long strides in the race to be in space they have launched several satellites not for Rohnasia but for other nations as well and it has had constant success in many space missions. It is considered the top space agency around the world.

1. This contract will provide TIST to loan an employee of TIST Dr. Charles Nordus to RSRA for their space station mission.
2. The term of the loan will be for 3 years which may be amended to a further time if agreed by both parties.
3. RSRA will be liable to pay Dr. Charles Nordus the remuneration that is due to him from TIST beginning from August 2018 including any other expenses that may be necessary for Dr. Charles Nordus' relocation.
4. Any other expenses that may incur on the side of TIST or DR. Charles will be the responsibility RSRA.
5. Any IP created while working with the team of RSRA and during work hours allotted for space station mission or any ancillary work associated with is the property of RSRA.
6. Any dispute arising out of this agreement will be resolved through Arbitration which will be governed by Rohanasian laws.

For any future reference and purposes regarding this agreement, RSRA is Party A and TIST is Party B.

Annexure-II

Arbitration Agreement

This is an arbitration agreement Dr. Charles Nordus and Rohanasia Space Research Agency hereafter (RSRA)

Party 1

Dr. Charles Nordus is a Professor of Mechanical Engineering and Aeronautical Engineering and celebrated Academician with many patents registered under his name in Tolken Institute of Science and Technology he was also an Adhoc employee with RSRA.

Party 2

Rohnasia Space and Research Agency (RSRA) is the national space agency of Rohnasia and is responsible for all the space missions of Rohnasia. The agency has long strides in the race to be in space they have launched several satellites not for Rohnasia but for other nations as well and it has had constant success in many space missions. It is considered as the top space agency around the world.

Party 1 and Party 2 have agreed to enter into an agreement to resolve their dispute regarding sharing of revenue in relation to a patent filing of one active close loop reference path guidance system to arbitration with the following conditions: -

1. The seat of arbitration is Rohanasia.
2. The language for conducting the arbitration will be English therefore all the documents submitted must be in English or must be translated in English.
3. The tribunal will consist of a single arbitrator.
4. The tribunal will follow MCIA rules for arbitration procedure.

Annexure III

✉ hr@rsra.gov.rh

To: cnordus@tist.edu

Hello,

Dr. Charles we are delighted to inform you that you have been appointed as the interim head of the space station program. We at RSRA are looking forward to working with you.

Regards,

RSRA (HR Dept.)

Annexure IV

✉ director@rsra.gov.rh

To: director@tist.edu

Respected sir,

Hope this mail finds you in good health, under your guidance the institute has really flourished and we are thankful for the help you and your institutes have extended to our research program; which brings me to my reason for writing this mail. Dr. Charles Nordus is a brilliant man and even a more brilliant researcher but due to his engagements at the institute, he is not able to properly dedicate his time to the project. Therefore I request you to loan Dr. Nordus for a period of at least 3 years to our agency so that he can dedicate his full potential to this project. Please consider the matter as of utmost importance to us.

Regards

Dr. Admon Salazar

Director, (Rohanasia Space Research Agency)

Annexure V

✉ director@tist.edu

To: director@rsra.gov.rh

Respect sir,

I hope this mail finds you well, we are humbled by your praises sir and we hope that we as an institution can continue to provide meaningful contributions in your solemn efforts. We will be more than happy to loan Dr. Nordus to your institute so that he can provide his services to your cause to the fullest extent. I will ask our in-house legal team to draft a contract that can meet the needs of both organizations and get it forwarded to you at the earliest.

Regards,

Karver Dent (Director,TIST)

Prizes:

- The Winning Team shall be awarded, Cash Prize of Rs.50,000/- and Certificate of Appreciation of Merit.
- The Runner-Up Team shall be awarded Cash prize of Rs.25,000/- and Certificate of Appreciation of Merit.
- The Best Memorial shall be awarded Cash prize of Rs.10,000/- and Certificate of Appreciation of Merit.
- The Best Speaker shall get Cash Prize of Rs.10,000 and Certificate of Appreciation of Merit. Best Speaker shall be evaluated on the bases of performance in preliminary rounds.
- The Best Researcher shall get Cash Prize of Rs.10,000 and Certificate of Appreciation of Merit
- All the members of the teams that entered into Quarter Final and Semi Final shall be awarded E-Certificates of Appreciation of Merit.
- All the members of the other participating teams shall be awarded E-Certificates of Participation.

Registration Procedure:

Online Registration Form Link:

<https://forms.gle/8JTovj4g1EsxWeQY8>

Interested teams are required to register by sending a scanned copy of the duly filled Registration Form to mcc.schooloflaw@gitam.edu with Subject "Registration for Moot 2021".

The last date for registration is **31st July,2021.**

Fee Details: All teams shall be required to make a payment of INR 2500/- via online transfer (NEFT) on/before 31st July,2021.

Details of the same must be sent to mcc.schooloflaw@gitam.edu before 11:59 P.M. on 31st July,2021. along with the duly filled registration form.

The wire transfer/NEFT must be made to:

Name of Account:

M/s. SEMINARS AND WORKSHOPS SCHOOL OF LAW

Union Bank Account No: 761302010000486

IFSC Code: UBIN0576131

Branch: GIMSR Branch, Rushikonda, Visakhapatnam-530045

Mode of payment:

Online Bank transfer in favor of

M/s. SEMINARS AND WORKSHOPS SCHOOL OF LAW,

to account details stated above **on or before 31st July,2021..**

The registration fee includes certificate of participation.

Important Dates

Deadline for Registration	31 st July,2021.
Acceptance of Registration	16 th July,2021.
Last date to seek clarifications based on the Problem	18 th July,2021.
Deadline for submission of softcopies of Memorials	20 th August,2021.
Deadline for Payment of Registration Fee	31 st July,2021.
Oral Rounds	27 th to 29 th August, 2021.

Schedule of Events

Registration & Inauguration, Preliminary Rounds	27 th August 2021
Quarterfinals & Semi-finals	28 th August 2021
Finals & Valedictory	29 th August 2021

Rules of the Competition

- 1. Title and commencement:** The Dr. MVVS Murthi National Virtual Moot Court Competition, 2021 (herein after referred as GNMNMC) shall be held on 27th to 29th August,2021. at School of Law, GITAM Deemed to be University, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India.

- 2. Language:** The language of the Competition shall be English.

3. Eligibility Criteria:

- 3.1 Law schools/Colleges/ Institutes/ Universities, recognized by the Bar Council of India, are eligible to participate. The participants should currently be pursuing their degree in law i.e., Three Year/Five Year Law/LLM Courses. Students who have completed their law courses are not eligible to participate in the competition.
- 3.2 Only one team can register from a particular law school/College/ Institution/ University to participate.
- 3.3 There shall be two speakers and one researcher designated for each team. In case of illness of the designated speaker, the researcher may be allowed to argue for the team with prior permission of the Committee.

4. Registration:

- 4.1 The Participating team shall duly fill the Registration Form provided along with the official rules and send it along with a Registration fee of Rs. 2500/- (Rupees Two Thousand five hundred only). The Registration fee shall be sent through Online Payment. The last date for receiving the registration form with fee shall be 15th July,2021.
- 4.2 The participants are required to submit one passport size photograph of each team member along with the registration form through .
- 4.3 Each team shall be allotted a Team Code on the receipt of registration fee and form. The Draw of Lots for the Preliminary rounds shall be drawn by the GNMNMC Committee on **25th August,2021** and the opponent

memorial shall be mailed to the respective teams by **26th August,2021.**

4.4 The name of the Institution shall not be disclosed at the time of submission of memorials. Any such disclosure shall lead to disqualification.

5. Written Submissions/Memorials:

- 5.1 All participating teams shall submit 6 sets of written memorials at the time of registration.
- 5.2 The cover page of memorials for the Prosecution shall be in **Red** and the Defence shall be in **Blue**.
- 5.3 The teams shall not indicate their names or the names of their college or the university on memorials. For all communications purposes, **only the team code** shall be specified.
- 5.4 The team code allotted to all teams shall be indicated at top right corner of the memorial, which will be communicated by way of E-mail.
- 5.5 All participating teams must submit a soft copy of their memorial in PDF format, for both sides by **11:59 P.M. IST** on or before **20th August,2021**.
- 5.7 Hard copies must be exact prints of the soft copies. Non-compliance with this rule would entail negative marking which may also attract disqualification. Decision in this regard shall be taken by the Committee.
- 5.8 The written memorials shall conform to the set standards:
- 5.8.1 Written memorials shall be printed on white A4 size paper with black ink and neatly bound. No plastic divider should be used in the memorials.

- 5.8.2 The font of the body of the Memorial must be Times New Roman, size 12, with 1.5 line spacing and the font-size of footnotes, if any, must not be less than size 10.
- 5.9 Blue Book mode of citation (**20th Edition**) must be followed.
- 5.10 Each page must have a margin of at least an inch on all sides.
- 5.11 The Memorial should not exceed 25 typed pages (not including the Cover Page) and shall consist of the following parts:

(a) Cover Page (b) Table of Contents (c) List of Abbreviations (d) Index of Authorities (e) Statement of Jurisdiction (f) Statement of Facts (g) Issues Raised (h) Summary of arguments (i) Arguments Advanced (not Exceeding 15 pages) (j) Prayer.

6. Deductions of Marks:

S. No	Scheme of Deduction	Marks Deducted
1.	Teams submitting soft copy after 11.59pmIST, 20 th August,2021	1 mark for each day of Delay
2.	Non-compliance with the rules relating to the font of the body of the memorial and line spacing.	0.5 marks for each page
3.	The Memorial Exceeding 25 pages.	1 Mark for each page
4.	Non-compliance with the Blue book method of citation (20 th edition).	0.25Marks for every Page containing such Violation.

5.	Submitted Hard Copies and the soft copies Mismatch.	1 Mark deducted for Every page containing such violation.
----	-----------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------

7. Evaluation Criteria for Written Submission:

Every memorial will be marked on scale of 100 and will be evaluated according to the following criteria:

S. No	Marking Criteria	Maximum Marks Allocated
1	Knowledge of Law & Facts	15
2	Grammar and Style of Presentation	10
3	Application of Law to Facts	20
4	Analysis and Organization	10
5	Use of Authority	15
6	Proper Citation & Correct Format	10
7	Originality in Presentation	10
8	General Impression and Clarity of Thought	10

8. Structure of Rounds: The oral rounds shall comprise of:

8.1 Preliminary Rounds:

- 8.1.1 There shall be two preliminary rounds on **27th August, 2021** and every team shall be given the opportunity to argue on each side.
- 8.1.2 The Draw of Lots for the Preliminary rounds shall be

drawn by the Committee on **26th August, 2021** and the opponent memorial shall be mailed to the respective teams by **26th August 2021**.

- 8.1.3 Each team shall get a total of **30 minutes** to present their case and shall include the time for 'rebuttal' and 'Sur-rebuttal'. Any extension of time beyond the specified period shall be subject to the discretion of the judges.
- 8.1.4 The division of time between the speakers is the discretion of the team members, subject to a maximum of **20 minutes** for one speaker.
- 8.1.5 Before the commencement of each round, each team shall indicate to the 'Court officer' as to how they wish to allocate their time.
- 8.1.6 At the end of the preliminary rounds, the top **8 teams** will qualify for the Quarter Finals. The top 8 teams will be selected on the basis of scores.
- 8.1.7 For the purpose of calculation, the marks awarded for the written submissions shall be added to the oral round score and a team will be evaluated for 300 Marks in the round.
- 8.1.8 If the situation of tie still persists, then only the total oral marks of the teams from both sessions will be considered. The team with the higher score in the oral rounds will advance to the Quarter Finals.
- 8.1.9 If the situation of the tie still persists, then it would be resolved by flip of a coin.

8.2 Quarter- finals:

- 8.2.1 The Quarter Finals will be held after the completion of the preliminary rounds i.e **28th August 2021**. The Quarter Finals shall be knock-out round. A team will be

credited with a win in the Quarter Finals if their total marks are higher than its opponent's team.

- 8.2.2 The side to be presented by the team shall be determined by way of draw of lots with the teams picking the lots after the completion of the preliminary rounds.
- 8.2.3 Each team shall get a total time of **30 minutes** to present their case and shall include the time for 'rebuttal' and 'Sur-rebuttal'.
- 8.2.4 The division of time between the speakers is the discretion of the team members, subject to a maximum of **20 minutes** for one speaker.
- 8.2.5 Before the commencement of the round, each team shall indicate to the 'court officer' as to how they wish to allocate their time.

8.3 Semi-finals

- 8.3.1 The Semi-Finals will be held on **28th August 2021**. The Semi-Finals shall be **knock-out round**. A team will be credited with a win in the Semi-Finals if their total marks are higher than its opponent's team.
- 8.3.2 The side to be presented by the team shall be determined by way of draw of lots with the teams picking the lots after the completion of quarter-finals rounds.
- 8.3.3 Each team shall get a total of **40 minutes** to present their case. This time will include the time for 'rebuttal' and 'Sur-rebuttal'.
- 8.3.4 The division of time between the speakers in the discretion of the team members, subject to a maximum of **25 minutes** for one speaker.
- 8.3.5 Before the commencement of the round, each team

shall indicate to the 'court officer' as to how they wish to allocate their time.

8.4 Finals:

- 8.4.1 The Final will be held on **29th August 2021**. A team will be credited with a win in the Final if their total marks are higher than its opponent team.
- 8.4.2 Each team shall get a total of **45 minutes** to present their case. This time will include the time for 'rebuttal' and 'Sur-rebuttal'.
- 8.4.3 The division of time between the speakers is the discretion of the team members, subject to a maximum of **30 minutes** for one speaker.
- 8.4.4 Before the commencement of the round, each team shall indicate to the 'court officer' as to how they wish to allocate their time.

9. Evaluation Criteria for Oral Pleading Session:

The oral pleadings would be marked on scale of 100 per judge and would be evaluated on the following grounds:

S.No	Marking Criteria	Maximum Marks Allocated
1	Knowledge of Law & Facts	20
2	Interpretation of Law & its Application to the Facts	25
3	Use of Authorities	15
4	Style, Poise and Court Mannerism	10
5	Organization of Arguments	15
6	Time Management	15

10. Dress Code:

- 10.1 The official Dress Code for the Competition is as follows:
- a) **Gentlemen:** Black Trousers, White Shirt, Black Blazer, Black neck tie, and Black formal shoes;
 - b) **Ladies:** Black Trousers/Skirt, White Shirt, Black Blazer, Black neck tie and Black formal shoes (or) White Salwar Kameez, Black Blazer, and Black Formal shoes.

11. Queries/Disputes:

- 11.1 All queries relating to the Moot Problem may be clarified by sending an email to mcc.schooloflaw@gitam.edu on or before **20th July 2021**.
- 11.2 Any dispute about the Moot Court Competition shall be referred to the GMNMC Committee before the end of the competition. In all matters of complaints or disputes, the decision of the Committee shall be final.

12. Miscellaneous:

- 12.1.1 All participants shall maintain the decorum in the Court during the competition are expected to conduct themselves in a manner befitting the legal profession.
- 12.1.2 Scouting by any person and on behalf of any team should be brought to the notice of the committee immediately, without disturbing the round the proceedings. Any team found scouting will be

disqualified and the final decision shall lie with committee.

- 12.1.3 If any team does not appear after **10 minutes** after the commencement of any round as per the scheduled time, the team which did not appear shall be deemed to have given a walkover and the opponent team shall be declared as winners of the round.
- 12.1.4 The Committee reserves the right to take decisions on any matter not mentioned in the Competition Rules. Any such decision taken by the Committee shall be final and binding on all the team.
- 12.1.5 Audio or videotaping of oral pleadings is **NOT PERMITTED**.

- 12.2 If a Team believes that violation of the Competition Rules has taken place at any stage of the Competition, the Team(s), within half an hour after the completion of the round in which violation has allegedly occurred, should register a complaint with the committee. Team(s) under no circumstances shall approach the Panel with any complaints.

- 13 **Disciplinary Rules:** The participants have to ensure high speed 4G internet connection with good audio and video facilities. It is advisable to the participants to use laptops or PC for the oral rounds. The background of the participants on video shall be plain and shall not contain any symbols or any representations. There must not be any noises other than the voice of the participant. In case other noises are audible and the judges find it to be disturbing, judges may stop the pleadings and the participant may be disqualified. At the time when the other participant is speaking, you are expected to

keep your mic mute. In case a participant is found disturbing the other pleader anyway, he/she may be disqualified.

14 **Contact:** For all further communications, the Organising committee can be contacted at mcc.schooloflaw@gitam.edu

BONAFIDE LETTER (Soft -Copy)

NOTE: Furnishing of information required herein is mandatory. A bona fide certificate issued by an appropriate authority of the Institution/ College/ University must be sent along with Memorials.

Institution/College/University Information:

Address.....

.....

Postal code.....City..... Country.....


Ph:Email :


Date: (Seal and Signature of Head of the Institution)


GITAM SCHOOL OF LAW


GITAM (Deemed to be University)

Visakhapatnam-530 045, Andhra Pradesh, India

 mcc.schooloflaw@gitam.edu

 0891 - 2840511

 www.vspgsl.gitam.edu

 27th to 29th August 2021
10:00AM